

# Central limit theorem for sampled sums of dependent random variables

**Clémentine PRIEUR**

**INSA** Toulouse, Institut **M**athématique de **T**oulouse  
Equipe de **S**tatistique et **P**robabilités

LIMIT THEOREMS and APPLICATIONS  
JANUARY 14-16, 2008

# Framework

# Framework

$(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  a probability space,

$T : E \rightarrow E$  bijective, bimeasurable,  $\mu$ -preserving.

We define  $(\zeta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = (T^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  from  $(E, \mu)$  to  $E$ .

# Framework

$(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  a probability space,

$T : E \rightarrow E$  bijective, bimeasurable,  $\mu$ -preserving.

We define  $(\zeta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = (T^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  from  $(E, \mu)$  to  $E$ .

$(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  a probability space,

$(X_i)_{i \geq 1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  i.i.d. on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ , .

We define  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $S_0 \equiv 0$ .

# Framework

$(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  a probability space,

$T : E \rightarrow E$  bijective, bimeasurable,  $\mu$ -preserving.

We define  $(\zeta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = (T^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  from  $(E, \mu)$  to  $E$ .

$(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  a probability space,

$(X_i)_{i \geq 1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  i.i.d. on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ , .

We define  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $S_0 \equiv 0$ .

For  $f \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mu)$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we are interested in the sampled ergodic sums

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ \zeta_{S_k(\omega)}.$$

$(S_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is **universally representative for  $L^p$ ,  $p > 1$**

if  $\exists \Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$  /

for every  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ ,

for every dynamical system  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu, T)$ ,

for every  $f \in L^p$ ,  $p > 1$ ,

$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^{S_k(\omega)}$  converges  $\mu$ -almost surely.

$(S_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is **universally representative for  $L^p, p > 1$**

if  $\exists \Omega_0 \subset \Omega, \mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1 /$

for every  $\omega \in \Omega_0,$

for every dynamical system  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu, T),$

for every  $f \in L^p, p > 1,$

$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^{S_k(\omega)}$  converges  $\mu$ -almost surely.

### Proposition (Lacey et al.)

Assume  $\mathbb{E}(X_1^2) < \infty.$

Then  $(S_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is *universally representative for  $L^p, p > 1$  iff the random walk is transient.*

We assume now that the random walk  $(S_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is **transient**.

Then,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the Green function  $G(0, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_k = x)$  is finite.



We assume now that the random walk  $(S_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is **transient**.

Then,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the Green function  $G(0, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_k = x)$  is finite.

### Examples :

1.  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}X_1 \neq 0$ ,
2.  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$  and  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(n^{-1/\alpha} S_n \leq x) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} F_\alpha(x)$ , where  $F_\alpha$  is the distribution function of a stable law with index  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

# Weak dependence framework

# Weak dependence framework

On the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , define the metric

$$d_1(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i - y_i|.$$

Let  $\Lambda = \cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*} \Lambda_m$  where

$\Lambda_m = \{f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ Lipschitz with respect to } d_1\}$ .

If  $f \in \Lambda_m$ , define  $\text{Lip}(f) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d_1(x, y)}$ .

Define  $\tilde{\Lambda} = \{f \in \Lambda / \text{Lip}(f) \leq 1\}$ .

Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be a square integrable r.v. on  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ . For any sub  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{E}$ , define

$$\theta_2(\mathcal{M}, \xi) = \sup \left\{ \|\mathbb{E}(f(\xi)|\mathcal{M}) - \mathbb{E}(f(\xi))\|_2, f \in \tilde{\Lambda} \right\}.$$

Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be a square integrable r.v. on  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ . For any sub  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{E}$ , define

$$\theta_2(\mathcal{M}, \xi) = \sup \left\{ \|\mathbb{E}(f(\xi)|\mathcal{M}) - \mathbb{E}(f(\xi))\|_2, f \in \tilde{\Lambda} \right\}.$$

### Définition

$(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  : *sequence of square integrable r.v.*,  
 $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{M}_i = \sigma(\xi_j, j \leq i)$ .

Then,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\infty\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\theta_{k,2}(n)$  by

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq k} \frac{1}{l} \sup \{ \theta_2(\mathcal{M}_p, (\xi_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_l})), p + n \leq j_1 < \dots < j_l \}.$$

Also define  $\theta_2(n) = \theta_{\infty,2}(n) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \theta_{k,2}(n)$ .

We consider the stationary sequence  $(\xi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} = (f \circ T^x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_\mu(f) = 0$  and  $T : E \rightarrow E$  bijective, bimeasurable and  $\mu$ -preserving.

We consider the stationary sequence  $(\xi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} = (f \circ T^x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_\mu(f) = 0$  and  $T : E \rightarrow E$  bijective, bimeasurable and  $\mu$ -preserving.

Then  $\mathcal{M}_i = \sigma(f \circ T^j, j \leq i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Assumption  $\mathcal{DEP}$**  : Assume that  $\theta_2^\xi(\cdot)$  is bounded above by some non-negative function  $g(\cdot)$  such that

$$x \mapsto x^{3/2}g(x) \text{ is non-increasing,}$$
$$\exists 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{3i}{2}}g(2^{i\varepsilon}) < \infty.$$

If  $\theta_2^\xi(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$ ,  $\mathcal{DEP}$  is satisfied as soon as  $a > 3/2$ .

# Main result

For  $f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu)$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_\mu(f) = 0$ , define

$$\sigma^2(f) = 2 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} G(0, x) \mathbb{E}_\mu(f f \circ T^x) - \mathbb{E}_\mu(f^2).$$

Theorem (Guillotini-Plantard & Prieur, 07)

Assume that  $(f \circ T^x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{DEP}$ . Assume that  $\sigma^2(f)$  is finite and positive.

Then, for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^n f \circ T^{S_k(\omega)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(f)).$$



## Remarks :

1. If  $(f \circ T^x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a sequence of martingale differences, the clt holds with  $0 < \sigma^2(f) = (2G(0, 0) - 1) \mathbb{E}_\mu(f^2) < \infty$  (Guillotini-Plantard & Schneider, 03).
2. The stationarity assumption on  $(f \circ T^x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$  can be relaxed by a stationarity assumption of order 2.

# Sketch of proof

## Sketch of proof

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define the local time of the random walk

$$N_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{S_i=x}.$$

For every  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f \circ T^{S_k(\omega)} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(x)(\omega) f \circ T^x.$$

## Sketch of proof

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define the local time of the random walk

$$N_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{S_i=x}.$$

For every  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f \circ T^{S_k(\omega)} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(x)(\omega) f \circ T^x.$$

We need a clt for triangular arrays of dependent random variables.

# clt for triangular arrays of dependent r.v.

Define  $X_{n,i} = a_{n,i}\xi_i$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ,  $i = -k_n, \dots, k_n$ , where

- $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a sequence of centered, non essentially constant and square integrable real valued r.v.,
- $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a  $\searrow$  sequence of positive integers s.t.  
$$k_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} +\infty,$$
- $\{a_{n,i}, -k_n \leq i \leq k_n\}$  is a triangular array of real numbers s.t.  
$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=-k_n}^{k_n} a_{n,i}^2 > 0.$$

We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of

$$\Sigma_n = \sum_{i=-k_n}^{k_n} X_{n,i} = \sum_{i=-k_n}^{k_n} a_{n,i}\xi_i.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}_i = \sigma(\xi_j, j \leq i)$  and  $\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}(\Sigma_n)$ .

Theorem (Guillotini-Plantard & Prieur, 07)

Assume that

(A<sub>1</sub>)

$$(i) \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sigma_n^2}{\sum_{i=-k_n}^{k_n} a_{n,i}^2} > 0,$$

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_n^{-1} \max_{-k_n \leq i \leq k_n} |a_{n,i}| = 0.$$

(A<sub>2</sub>)  $\{\xi_i^2\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an uniformly integrable family.

Assume moreover that  $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{DEP}$ .

Then,

$$\frac{\Sigma_n}{\sigma_n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

## Case of sampled sums

Define  $\sigma_n^2(f) = \mathbb{E}_\mu \left( \left| \sum_{k=0}^n f \circ T^{S_k(\omega)} \right|^2 \right)$ .

Recall that  $\sigma^2(f) = 2 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} G(0, x) \mathbb{E}_\mu(f f \circ T^x) - \mathbb{E}_\mu(f^2)$ .

Proposition (Guillotini-Plantard & Priour, 07)

If  $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} G(0, x) \mathbb{E}_\mu(f f \circ T^x) < +\infty$ , then

$$\frac{\sigma_n^2(f)}{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}} \sigma^2(f).$$

### Example :

Unsymmetric random walk on nearest neighbours with  $p > q$ .

$$\forall x \geq 0, G(0, x) = (p - q)^{-1},$$

$$\forall x \leq -1, G(0, x) = (p - q)^{-1} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^x.$$

If  $f = h - h \circ T$ , we get for  $\sigma^2(f)$  :

$$-2\frac{p-1}{p}\mathbb{E}_\mu(h^2) + 2\mathbb{E}_\mu(h h \circ T) - 2\frac{p-q}{pq} \sum_{x \geq 1} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^x \mathbb{E}_\mu(h h \circ T^x).$$



Define  $M_n = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |S_k|$ .

Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f \circ T^{S_k} = \sum_{|x| \leq M_n} N_n(x) f \circ T^x.$$

We apply the clt to the triangular array

$$\left\{ X_{n,i} = \frac{N_n(i)}{\sqrt{n}} f \circ T^i, n \in \mathbb{N}, -M_n \leq i \leq M_n \right\}.$$

As  $f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu)$ , the stationary family  $\{(f \circ T^i)^2\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is uniformly integrable.

As  $f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu)$ , the stationary family  $\{(f \circ T^i)^2\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is uniformly integrable.

Define the self-intersection local time  $\alpha(n, x) = \sum_{i,j=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{S_i - S_j = x}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof of  $(A_1)$  (i) :

$$\sum_{i=-M_n}^{M_n} a_{n,i}^2 = \frac{\alpha(n,0)}{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}} 2G(0,0) - 1 > 0$$

(Guillot-Plantard & Schneider, 03).

$$\text{Moreover, } \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_{n,i} \right) = \frac{\sigma_n^2(f)}{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} \sigma^2(f) > 0.$$

Proof of  $(A_1)(ii)$  :

$\forall \rho > 0,$

$$\max_{-M_n \leq i \leq M_n} |a_{n,i}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \max_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(i) = o\left(n^{\rho - \frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Hence  $\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_n^2(f)}{n}}\right)^{-1} \max_{-M_n \leq i \leq M_n} |a_{n,i}| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}} 0.$

Proof of  $(A_1)(ii)$  :

$\forall \rho > 0,$

$$\max_{-M_n \leq i \leq M_n} |a_{n,i}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \max_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n(i) = o\left(n^{\rho - \frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Hence  $\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_n^2(f)}{n}}\right)^{-1} \max_{-M_n \leq i \leq M_n} |a_{n,i}| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}} 0.$

$\Rightarrow$  clt for the sampled sums under  $\mathcal{DEP}$ .

# Example of sampled dynamical systems

## Example of sampled dynamical systems

Let  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $T : I \mapsto I$  s.t.  $\exists$  a  $T$ -invariant probability  $\mu$  on  $I$ .  
Define  $X_i = T^i$ . Then  $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is strictly stationary from  $(I, \mu)$  to  $I$ .

## Example of sampled dynamical systems

Let  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $T : I \mapsto I$  s.t.  $\exists$  a  $T$ -invariant probability  $\mu$  on  $I$ .  
Define  $X_i = T^i$ . Then  $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is strictly stationary from  $(I, \mu)$  to  $I$ .

**Perron-Frobenius operator :**

Let  $K : \mathbb{L}^1(I, \mu) \mapsto \mathbb{L}^1(I, \mu)$  defined by

$\forall h \in \mathbb{L}^1(I, \mu), \forall k \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(I, \mu),$

$$\int_0^1 K(h)(x)k(x)d\mu(x) = \int_0^1 h(x)(k \circ T)(x)d\mu(x).$$



## Example of sampled dynamical systems

Let  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $T : I \mapsto I$  s.t.  $\exists$  a  $T$ -invariant probability  $\mu$  on  $I$ .  
Define  $X_i = T^i$ . Then  $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is strictly stationary from  $(I, \mu)$  to  $I$ .

**Perron-Frobenius operator :**

Let  $K : \mathbb{L}^1(I, \mu) \mapsto \mathbb{L}^1(I, \mu)$  defined by

$\forall h \in \mathbb{L}^1(I, \mu), \forall k \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(I, \mu),$

$$\int_0^1 K(h)(x)k(x)d\mu(x) = \int_0^1 h(x)(k \circ T)(x)d\mu(x).$$

Define  $(Y_i)_{i \geq 0}$  the stationary Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\mu$  and transition kernel  $K$ . Then,

$\forall n \geq 0, (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim (Y_n, Y_{n-1}, \dots, Y_0)$  (Gordin, 68).

We endow the space of  $BV$ -functions with the norm

$$\|h\|_v = \|dh\| + \|h\|_{1,\mu}.$$

In the case where the spectral analysis of  $K$  on  $(BV, \|\cdot\|_v)$  yields the existence of a spectral gap,  $\exists !$   $T$ -invariant  $\mu \ll \lambda$  on  $I$  whose density  $f_\mu$  is  $BV$ .

We endow the space of  $BV$ -functions with the norm

$$\|h\|_v = \|dh\| + \|h\|_{1,\mu}.$$

In the case where the spectral analysis of  $K$  on  $(BV, \|\cdot\|_v)$  yields the existence of a spectral gap,  $\exists ! T$ -invariant  $\mu \ll \lambda$  on  $I$  whose density  $f_\mu$  is  $BV$ .

Assumptions : regularity, expansivity, topologically mixing (see Collet *et al*, 02).

Then  $\exists C > 0, \exists 0 < \rho < 1$  s.t.

$\forall n \geq 0, \theta_2^Y(n) \leq C \rho^n$ , Dedecker & Prieur (05).

For this example, the limit variance is

$$\sigma^2(f) = 2 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} G(0, x) \text{Cov}(f(X_0), f(X_{|x|})) - \text{Var}(f(X_0)).$$

# Parametric estimation by random sampling

# Parametric estimation by random sampling

We observe a stationary process  $(\zeta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  at random times  $S_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , with  $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$  a non negative, increasing and transient random walk.

Sampled empirical mean :  $\hat{m}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_{S_i}$ .

A quadratic criterion :  $a(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (n \text{Var} \hat{m}_n)$ .

If  $(\text{Cov}(\zeta_1, \zeta_{n+1}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^1$ ,

$$a(S) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{Cov}(\zeta_{S_1}, \zeta_{S_{|k|+1}}) < \infty.$$

### Corollaire (Guillotini-Plantard & Prieur, 07)

Assume that  $(\zeta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{DEP}$ . Assume moreover that the random walk is transient,  $S_0 = 0$  and  $(S_{n+1} - S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  takes its values in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ .

Then, for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{m}_n - m) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} \mathcal{N}(0, a(S)).$$

**Proof :**  $f = Id - m$ ,  $\sigma^2(f) = a(S)$ .

- And using Lindeberg-Rio + blocks?  $\theta_{k,2}(n)$ ?
- The case where the random walk is recurrent (Pène, 07).



Thank you for your attention

`www.lsp.ups-tlse.fr/Fp/Prieur`