### Estimating the Degree of Activity of jumps in High Frequency Financial Data

joint with Yacine Aït-Sahalia

## Aim and setting

- An underlying process  $X = (X_t)_{t>0}$ ,
- observed at equally spaced discrete times :

$$
X_0, X_{\Delta_n}, \cdots, X_{i\Delta_n}, \cdots
$$

• on a fixed time interval  $[0, T]$ 

Assuming X has jumps on  $[0, T]$ , determine the "degree of activity" of the jumps, when the time lag  $\Delta_n$  goes to 0:

- finitely many ?
- or, if infinitely many, how "infinite" is it ?

### Measuring the degree of activity - 1

If X is a Lévy process with Lévy measure F and "tail"  $\overline{F}(x) =$  $F({y : |y| > x})$ :

•  $\overline{F}(x)$  = mean number of jumps  $\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-}$  with size  $|\Delta X_t| > x$  over  $[0,1]$ 

• For all  $t > 0$  the equivalence holds:

$$
\sum_{s\leq t} |\Delta X_s|^r < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int (|x|^r \wedge 1) F(dx) < \infty
$$

The set  $I$  of all  $r$  as such has the form

$$
I = (\beta, \infty)
$$
, or  $I = [\beta, \infty)$ 

for some  $\beta \in [0,2]$ , and  $2 \in I$ .

 $\beta$  is the Blumenthal-Getoor index, introduced in 1961.

 $\beta$  is a sensible measure of the jump activity, since

$$
\lim_{x \to 0} x^{\beta + \varepsilon} \overline{F}(x) = 0, \qquad \limsup_{x \to 0} x^{\beta - \varepsilon} \overline{F}(x) = \infty
$$

When  $X$  is stable, the BG index equals the stable index, and  $\beta \notin I$ 

### Measuring the degree of activity - 2

 $X$  is an Itô semimartingale, that is

$$
X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \text{JUMPS}
$$

JUMPS = 
$$
\int_0^t \int_{\{|x| \le 1\}} x(\mu - \nu)(ds, dx) + \int_0^t \int_{\{|x| > 1\}} x\mu(ds, dx)
$$

Here  $\mu$  is the jump measure of X, and its predictable compensator  $\nu$  can be factorized as

$$
\nu(\omega; dt, dx) = dt F_t(\omega, dx).
$$

#### Assumptions:

- on b and  $\sigma$ : they are locally bounded (random or not, dependent on  $X$  or not).
- on  $F_t$ : see later.

Instantaneous index:

$$
I_t^i = \{r \ge 0 : \int (|x|^r \wedge 1) F_t(dx) < \infty\}, \qquad \beta_t^i = \inf(I_t^i)
$$

Index over  $[0, t]$ :

$$
I_t = \{r \ge 0 : \int_0^t ds \int (|x|^r \wedge 1) F_t(dx) < \infty \}, \qquad \beta_t = \inf(I_t)
$$

Warning: Those are random.

An unrealistic situation: the path of  $X_t$  is fully observed on  $[0, T]$ 

Then:

- $\bullet \quad \sigma(\omega)_t$  is known for  $t \in [0,T]$
- $I(\omega)_T$  is also known, because (outside a null set)  $r \in I_T$  iff  $\sum_{s\leq T} |\Delta X_s|^r < \infty$ .

Apart from  $I_T$ , the measures  $F_t$  are "essentially" unknown, even under the (strong) additional assumption that  $F_t(\omega, dx) = F(dx)$ is non-random, independent of time.

This motivates the estimation of  $\beta_T$ , which is about the most we can infer, concerning the measures  $F_t$ , even in this unrealistic situation.

#### The main challenge

Consider the special case  $X = \sigma W + Y$ , where Y is a  $\beta$ -stable process, so  $\beta_t(\omega) = \beta$ .

Any increment  $\Delta^n_iX = X_{i\Delta_n} - X_{(i-1)\Delta_n}$  satisfies

$$
\Delta_i^n X = \sigma \Delta_n^{1/2} W_1 + \Delta_n^{1/\beta} Y_1
$$

(equality in law). Then:

• Recalling  $\beta < 2$  and  $\Delta_n \to 0$ , with a large probability  $\Delta_i^n X$ is close to  $\sigma\Delta$ 1/2  $n^{1/2}W_1$  in law. those increments give essentially no information on Y, and are of "order of magnitude"  $\Delta_n^{1/2}$ 

However if Y has a "big" jump at time  $S$ , the corresponding increment is close to  $\Delta Y_S$ .

Hence, one has to throw away all "small" increments. However,  $\beta$  is related to the behavior of F near 0, hence to the "very small" jumps of  $Y$ .

In practice one uses only increments bigger than a cutoff level

 $\alpha \Delta_n^{\varpi}$  for some  $\varpi \in (0,1/2).$ 

Asymptotically:

• those increments are big because, since  $\Delta_n^{1/2} << \Delta_n^{\varpi}$ , the main contribution is due to  $Y$ .

• those increments mostly contain a single "big" jump, of size of order at least  $\Delta_n^{\overline{\omega}}$ .

• we still get some information on small jumps, because  $\Delta_n^{\overline{\omega}} \to 0$ .

The same heuristics works for Itô semimartingales. This leads to consider, for fixed  $\varpi \in (0, 1/2)$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , the functionals

$$
U(\varpi,\alpha,\Delta_n)_t=\sum_{i=1}^{[t/\Delta_n]}1_{\{|\Delta_i^nX|>\alpha\Delta_n^{\varpi}\}}.
$$

which simply counts the number of increments whose magnitude is greater than  $\alpha \Delta_n^{\overline{\omega}}$ .

This way, we are retaining only those increments of  $X$  that are predominantly made of contributions due to a single jump

### The key property

Again  $X = \sigma W + Y$  with Y  $\beta$ -stable for a while. Essentially,  $U(\varpi, \alpha, \Delta_n)_t$  is the same as, or close to, the number  $V(\varpi, \alpha, \Delta_n)_t$ of jumps of  $Y$  which are bigger than  $\alpha\Delta_n^{\varpi}$ , in the interval  $[0,t].$ 

•  $V(\varpi, \alpha, \Delta_n)_t$  is a Poisson random variable with parameter

$$
Ct/\alpha^{\beta}\ \Delta_n^{\beta\varpi}.
$$

 $(C =$  suitable constant). Hence

 $\bullet \quad \Delta_n^{\beta\varpi}V(\varpi,\alpha,\Delta_n)_t \ \to \ C/\alpha^\beta$  (in probability),

• 
$$
\frac{1}{\Delta_n^{\beta \varpi/2}} \left( \Delta_n^{\beta \varpi} V(\varpi, \alpha, \Delta_n)_t - C/\alpha^{\beta} \right) \to \mathcal{N}(0, C/\alpha^{\beta}) \text{ (in law)}.
$$

These properties carry over to  $U(\varpi, \alpha, \Delta_n)_t$  in the case above, and also to more general semimartingales, subject to the following assumption, where  $0 \leq \beta' < \beta < 2$  are non-random:

We have for all  $(\omega, t)$ :

$$
F_t = F'_t + F''_t + F'''_t,
$$

where

 $\bullet$   $F'_t$  $t'$  is locally of the  $\beta-$ stable form

$$
F'_t(dx) = \frac{1}{|x|^{1+\beta}} \left( a_t^{(+)} 1_{\{0 < x \le z_t^{(+)}\}} + a_t^{(-)} 1_{\{-z_t^{(-)} \le x < 0\}} \right) dx,
$$
\nfor some predictable non-negative processes 

\n
$$
a_t^{(+)}, a_t^{(-)}, z_t^{(+)}
$$
\nand 

\n
$$
z_t^{(-)}.
$$

- $F_t''$  has a density, and has a Blumenthal-Getoor index  $\leq \beta/2$ and  $F_t''$  $f_t''(\mathbb{R}) = 0$  if  $F_t'$  $t'_{t}(\mathbb{R}) = 0.$
- $F_t'''$  $t''$  is singular and has a Blumenthal-Getoor index  $\leq \beta'.$
- Plus some (weak) technical conditions on  $a_t^{(+)}$  $\mathcal{F}^{\top}$ ,  $a$  $(-)$  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}, z$  $(+)$  $\mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{t}$  and z  $(-)$  $\frac{(-)}{t}$ .

For example, any process of the following form satisfies the assumption

$$
dX_t = b_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t + \delta_{t-} dY_t + \delta'_{t-} dY'_t
$$

where:

 $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  are càdlàg adapted processes

- 
$$
Y
$$
 is  $\beta$ -stable

 $Y'$  is any Lévy process with Blumenthal-Getoor index less that  $\beta/2$ .

THEOREM Under the previous assumptions, and if

$$
A_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^t \left( a_s^{(+)} + a_s^{(-)} \right) ds,
$$

• 
$$
\Delta_n^{\beta\varpi} U(\varpi, \alpha, \Delta_n)_t \rightarrow A_t/\alpha^{\beta}
$$
 in probability,

• 1  $\overline{\Delta_n^{\beta\varpi/2}}$ n  $\left(\Delta_n^{\beta\varpi}U(\varpi,\alpha,\Delta_n)_t - A_t/\alpha^{\beta}\right)$ converges stably in law to a variable which, conditionally on the process  $X$  is centered Gaussian with variance  $A_t/\alpha^{\beta}$ .

We also have the joint convergence (stably in law) for two or more values of  $\alpha$  and/or  $\varpi$ .

#### The estimators

We pick  $\varpi \in (0,1/2)$  and  $0 < \alpha < \alpha'$ , and define

$$
\widehat{\beta}_n(\varpi,\alpha,\alpha')\,\,=\,\frac{\log(U(\varpi,\alpha,\Delta_n)_T/U(\varpi,\alpha',\Delta_n)_T)}{\log(\alpha'/\alpha)},
$$

By the first part of the theorem, we have consistency:

$$
\widehat{\beta}_n(\varpi,\alpha,\alpha') \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \beta,
$$
  
in restriction to the set where  $A_T > 0$ .

Another family of consistent estimator is

$$
\widehat{\beta}'_n(\varpi,\alpha) = \frac{\log(U(\varpi,\alpha,\Delta_n)_T/U(\varpi,\alpha,2\Delta_n)_T)}{\varpi \log 2}
$$

.

# A central limit theorem

The second part of the key theorem, yields

THEOREM As soon as  $\varpi < \frac{1}{2+\beta}$  $\Lambda \frac{2}{5}$  $\overline{\mathsf{5}\beta}$ , and in restriction to the set  $\{AT > 0\}$ ,

1) the variables

$$
\frac{1}{\Delta_n^{\varpi\beta/2}}\ (\widehat{\beta}_n(\varpi, \alpha, \alpha') - \beta)
$$

converge stably in law to a variable which conditionally on the process  $X$  is centered Gaussian with variance  $(\alpha'^\beta-\alpha^\beta)/A_T(\log(\alpha'/\alpha))^2$ , 2) the variables

$$
\frac{\log(\alpha'/\alpha)}{\left(\frac{1}{U(\varpi,\alpha',\Delta_n)_t}-\frac{1}{U(\varpi,\alpha,\Delta_n)_t}\right)^{1/2}}\ \left(\widehat{\beta}_n(\varpi,\alpha,\alpha')-\beta\right)
$$

converge stably in law to a standard normal variable independent of X.

(similar results hold for the other family of estimators).

- The qualifier "in restriction to the set  $\{A_T > 0\}$ " is essential in this statement.
	- On the (random) set  $\{A_T > 0\}$ , the jump activity index is  $\beta$ .
	- On the complement set  $\{A_T=0\}$ , the number  $\beta$  is not the jump activity index for  $X$  on  $[0, T]$ . We do not know even the behavior of  $\widehat{\beta}_n(\varpi, \alpha, \alpha')$  in probability, not to speak about a central limit theorem. However we suspect that any convergent subsequence as a limit strictly smaller than  $\beta/2$ .
- These results are model-free in a sense, because the drift and the volatility processes are totally unspecified; on the other hand the assumptions on the Lévy measures  $F_t$  are quite strong.
- When those assumptions fail, we do not know how to prove the results, even in the case where X is a Lévy process.

#### 0.1. Simulation Results

- The data generating process is  $dX_t/X_0 = \sigma_t dW_t + dY_t$
- Y is a pure jump process,  $\beta$ -stable or Compound Poisson  $(\beta = 0).$
- Stochastic volatility  $\sigma_t = v$ 1/2 t

$$
dv_t = \kappa(\eta - v_t)dt + \gamma v_t^{1/2}dB_t + dJ_t,
$$

- Leverage effect:  $E[dW_t dB_t] = \rho dt$ ,  $\rho < 0$
- With jumps in volatility:  $J$  is a compound Poisson process with uniform jumps.

#### Simulations:  $\beta = 1.25$  and  $\beta = 1$

Estimator Based on Two Truncation Levels



Simulations: 
$$
\beta
$$
 = 0.75 and  $\beta$  = 0.5



Simulations: 
$$
\beta = 0.25
$$
 and  $\beta = 0$ 



#### 0.2. Empirical Results: Intel & Microsoft 2005

![](_page_24_Picture_123.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_119.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)