



THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

José Marcano
Universidad de Carabobo

María D. Morán & José R. León
Universidad Central de Venezuela

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

A common problem in practice, is to obtain, as a result of any collecting data process in time series studies, a finite complex sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=-p}^p$ $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and try to know when such a sequence is the first covariance function (or matrix) coefficients. The mathematical formulation is as follow: "Under what conditions over $\{c_k\}_{k=-p}^p$ there is at least a measure (or a matrix measure) on the unit circle T μ such that

$$c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ikx} \mu(dx) \quad k=-p, \dots, p \quad (1)''$$

In such a case we have that $c_{-k} = \overline{c_k}$ $k \in \{-p, \dots, p\}$. This problem has a long history.

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

In 1911, Toeplitz dealt with the case that the sequence is of the form $\{c_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, he proved that if the solution exists it is unique. Problem (1), can be seen as a generalization of Toeplitz's problem, but now the solution, if it exists does not have to be unique. Several works since then dealt with this problem, using mainly Operator Theory. In 1988 Dym and in 1989 Woederman described partially the solutions. Since the solution of (1) is not unique, it is important to find the one which maximizes the Burg and Knein entropy functionals:

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log f(e^{it}) dt$$

$$\mathcal{E}_a(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log f(e^{it}) \frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-a\bar{e}^{it}|^2} dt \quad |a| < 1, a \in \mathcal{E}$$

In that follows we study these two problems, both in the one-dimensional case as in the vectorial case, using a model of Operator Theory named "Arrov-Grossman model."

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

We associate to a given finite set $\{c_k\}_{k=0}^p$, that can be thought as the first p autocorrelation coefficients of a second order centered stationary process $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, an isometry V acting on a Hilbert space, and that some unitary extension U of V , generates a process X such that the spectrum f verifies:

$$c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} f(e^{it}) dt, \quad k = -p, \dots, p \quad (2)$$

The Aron-Grossman's model provides a description of all different spectrum f of X verifying (2).

This description is given by the 1-1 correspondence between such set and a subset of the open unitary ball of $H^\infty(D)$, the set of all analytic and essentially bounded functions defined on $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Organization of the talk:

1. One dimensional problem. Aron-Grossman representation.
2. Levinson's & Schur's algorithm.

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

3. Burg & Knein maximum entropy problem in between with Covariance extension problem.
4. Multidimensional covariance matrix extension problem. Burg's and Knein entropy and their solutions.
5. Finals remarks.

A sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=-p}^p \subset \mathbb{C}$ is said to be strictly definite positive (sdp) if and only if

$$\sum_{n=-p}^p \sum_{m=-p}^p \lambda_n \overline{\lambda_m} c_{n-m} > 0, \quad \{\lambda_m\}_{m=-p}^p \subset \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \quad (3)$$

If $\{c_k\}_{k=-p}^p$ is sdp of complex numbers, we can introduce an inner product in E_p , the trigonometric polynomials of degree $k \leq p$, let $e_k(z) := z^k$ for $f = \sum_{k=0}^p a_k e_k$ and $g = \sum_{k=0}^p b_k e_k$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_p = \sum_{n=0}^p \sum_{m=0}^p a_n \overline{b_m} c_{m-n}$$

As a consequence of (3) $(E_p, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p)$ is a $(p+1)$ -dimensional Hilbert space. We define

$$\Gamma_p: (E_p, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p) \rightarrow (E_p, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \text{ by}$$

$$\langle \Gamma_p f, g \rangle := \langle f, g \rangle_p \quad f, g \in E_p$$

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

Γ_p is a linear operator and $\|\Gamma_p\| \leq 1$. We can conclude the following classical lemma.

Lemma 1: Let $D_p = \text{Span}\{e_k\}_{k=0}^{p-1}$, $R_p = \text{Span}\{e_k\}_{k=1}^p$ be subspaces of E_p and set $V_p: D_p \rightarrow R_p$ defined by

$$(V_p f)(\zeta) = \zeta f(\zeta) \quad \zeta \in T, f \in D_p. \text{ Then}$$

- (a) V_p is an isometry acting on the space $(E_p, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p)$
 (b) The orthogonal complement of D_p , $N_p = E_p \ominus D_p$ and the orthogonal complement of R_p , $M_p = E_p \ominus R_p$ have dimension one. Furthermore, N_p and M_p are spanned by:

$$n_p(\zeta) := \frac{\Gamma_p^{-1} e_p}{\|\Gamma_p^{-1} e_p\|_p} \quad \text{and} \quad m_p(\zeta) := \frac{\Gamma_p^{-1} e_0}{\|\Gamma_p^{-1} e_0\|_p}$$

respectively.

(Now if $P_{N_p}^{E_p}$ denotes the orthogonal projection $E_p \rightarrow N_p$)

(c) $P_{N_p}^{E_p} e_p = \frac{n_p}{\langle n_p, e_p \rangle} = (1 - P_{D_p}^{E_p}) e_p$ $\hat{m}_p(p)$ is the p -th Fourier coefficient of

Proof:

(a) If $\Gamma_p f = 0 \Rightarrow \langle f, f \rangle_p = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$ Γ_p is injective hence invertible.

(b) $N_p = E_p \ominus D_p$ if $f \in N_p$ and $k = \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ we have

$$\langle \Gamma_p f, e_k \rangle = \langle f, e_k \rangle_p = 0 \Rightarrow \Gamma_p f = \lambda e_p \Rightarrow f = \lambda \Gamma_p^{-1} e_p$$

$$\text{it yields } N_p = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_p^{-1} e_p}{\|\Gamma_p^{-1} e_p\|_p} \right\} = \text{span} \{n_p\}$$

The same thing can be proved for M_p .

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

$$(a) \hat{m}_p(p) = \frac{\langle \Gamma_p^{-1} e_p, e_p \rangle}{\|\Gamma_p^{-1} e_p\|_p} = \frac{\| \Gamma_p^{-1} e_p \|_p}{\| \Gamma_p^{-1} e_p \|_p} = 1$$

$$\frac{p_{E_p}}{n_p} e_p = \langle n_p, e_p \rangle_p n_p = \langle \Gamma_p^{-1} n_p, e_p \rangle n_p = \frac{n_p}{\|\Gamma_p^{-1} e_p\|_p} = \frac{n_p}{\hat{m}_p(p)}$$

The following lemma establishes a connection between n_p and m_p and also shows where the zeros of both functions lie

Lemma 2: On the same hypothesis of lemma 1, we have:

$$(a) \Gamma_p^{-1} e_p = e_p \overline{\Gamma_p^{-1} e_0}$$

$$(b) n_p = e_p \overline{m_p} \text{ that is } n_p = \overline{\hat{m}_p(p)} + \overline{\hat{m}_p(p-1)} e_p + \dots + \overline{\hat{m}_p(0)} e_p$$

where $\hat{m}_p(j)$ is the j -th m_p coefficient.

(c) All the zeros of $n_p(z)$ and $m_p(z)$ lie in $|z| < 1$ and $|z| > 1$ respectively

Proof:

$$(a) \Gamma_p^{-1} e_0 = \sum_{n=0}^p a_n e_n \text{ so } \langle \Gamma_p^{-1} e_0, e_k \rangle_p = \langle e_0, e_k \rangle = \delta_p(k) = \langle e_p \overline{\Gamma_p^{-1} e_0}, e_k \rangle_p$$

(b) Is a consequence of the definitions.

(c) Suppose that δ is a zero of n_p , there exists $S_{p-1} \in E_{p-1}$ such that $n_p(z) = (z - \delta) S_{p-1}(z)$

or equivalently

$$m_p(z) + \delta S_{p-1}(z) = z S_{p-1}(z)$$

Since $m_p \perp E_{p-1}$ and V_p is an isometry

$$\|n_p + \delta S_{p-1}\|_p^2 = \langle n_p + \delta S_{p-1}, m_p + \delta S_{p-1} \rangle_p = \|n_p\|_p^2 + |\delta|^2 \|S_{p-1}\|_p^2$$

which yields

$$\|n_p\|_p^2 + |\delta|^2 \|S_{p-1}\|_p^2 = \|z S_{p-1}(z)\|_p^2 = \|V_p S_{p-1}\|_p^2 = \|S_{p-1}\|_p^2$$

whence $1 - |\delta|^2 = \frac{1}{\|S_{p-1}\|_p^2} > 0$ as required. The result for m_p is similar.

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

2. Levinson's and Shur's algorithms.

Proposition 3: For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq 2$ there exists δ_p such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\widehat{n}_p}{\widehat{n}_p(p)} &= \frac{\zeta \widehat{m}_{p-1}}{\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)} - \delta_p \frac{\widehat{m}_{p-1}}{\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)} \\ \frac{\widehat{m}_p}{\widehat{m}_p(p)} &= \frac{\widehat{m}_{p-1}}{\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)} - \bar{\delta}_p \frac{\zeta \widehat{m}_{p-1}}{\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\frac{\widehat{n}_1}{\widehat{n}_1(1)} = e_1 e_0 - \bar{e}_1 e_0$, $\widehat{m}_1 = e_1 \bar{m}_1$. Furthermore

$$|\widehat{m}_p(p)|^2 = \frac{|\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)|^2}{1 - |\delta|^2}$$

Proof: To obtain the last equality we can rewrite (4) as

$$\frac{\widehat{n}_p}{\widehat{n}_p(p)} + \delta \frac{\widehat{m}_{p-1}}{\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)} = \frac{\zeta \widehat{m}_{p-1}}{\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)}$$

thus

$$\left\| \frac{\widehat{n}_p}{\widehat{n}_p(p)} + \delta \frac{\widehat{m}_{p-1}}{\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)} \right\|_p^2 = \left\| V_p \frac{\widehat{m}_{p-1}}{\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)} \right\|_p^2$$

by using the fact that V_p is an isometry and $n_p \perp m_{p-1}$ we find

$$\frac{1}{|\widehat{n}_p(p)|^2} = \frac{1 - |\delta|^2}{|\widehat{m}_{p-1}(p-1)|^2}$$

We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$D_p = E_{p-1} = D_{p-1} \oplus N_{p-1} = R_{p-1} \oplus M_{p-1} = V_p D_{p-1} \oplus M_{p-1}$$

(recall $V_p: D_p \rightarrow R_p$)

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

hence

$$P_{D_p}^{E_p} e_p = P_{D_p}^{E_p} (V_p P_{D_{p-1}}^{E_{p-1}} e_{p-1} + V_p P_{N_{p-1}}^{E_{p-1}} e_{p-1}).$$

But
$$P_{D_p}^{E_p} V_p P_{N_{p-1}}^{E_{p-1}} e_{p-1} = \frac{P_{D_p}^{E_p} V_p \langle n_{p-1}, e_{p-1} \rangle_{p-1}}{m_{p-1}} n_{p-1} = \frac{\langle n_{p-1}, e_{p-1} \rangle_{p-1} P_{D_p}^{E_p} V_p}{m_{p-1}}$$

$$= \frac{\langle n_{p-1}, e_{p-1} \rangle_{p-1} \langle m_{p-1}, V_p n_{p-1} \rangle_p}{m_{p-1}}$$

then

$$P_{D_p}^{E_p} e_p = V_p P_{D_{p-1}}^{E_{p-1}} e_{p-1} + \frac{\langle n_{p-1}, e_{p-1} \rangle_{p-1} \langle m_{p-1}, V_p n_{p-1} \rangle_p}{m_{p-1}}$$

thus

$$e_p - P_{D_p}^{E_p} e_p = V_p e_{p-1} - V_p P_{D_{p-1}}^{E_{p-1}} e_{p-1} - \frac{\langle m_{p-1}, V_p n_{p-1} \rangle_p}{\widehat{m_{p-1}(p-1)}} m_{p-1}$$

$$\frac{m_p}{\widehat{m_p(p)}} = \frac{\xi m_{p-1}}{\widehat{m_{p-1}(p-1)}} - \frac{\gamma_p m_{p-1}}{\widehat{m_{p-1}(p-1)}}$$

where $\gamma_p = \langle m_{p-1}, V_p n_{p-1} \rangle_p$ and $e_p - P_{D_p}^{E_p} e_p = \frac{n_p}{\widehat{m_p(p)}}$ the other recursion follows from $m_p = e_p \widehat{m_p}$.

Remark: The coefficients γ_p are called the Schur parameters, indeed if $G_p(z) := \frac{m_p(z)}{m_p(z)}$ we can write

$$G_p(z) = \frac{z m_{p-1} - \gamma_p m_{p-1}}{m_{p-1} - \bar{\gamma}_p z m_{p-1}} = \frac{z G_{p-1}(z) - \gamma_p}{1 - \bar{\gamma}_p z G_{p-1}(z)}$$

3. Covariance extension problem description of all the solutions.

$$E_p = \{ \text{trigonometric polynomials degree } \leq p \}$$

$V_p(e_k) = \xi e_k(\xi)$ ($k < p$) $V_p: D_p \rightarrow R_p$ is an isometry

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

$$N_p = E_p \ominus D_p \quad \text{and} \quad M_p = E_p \ominus R_p$$

We define $U: F \rightarrow F$ as a minimal unitary extension of V if U is unitary F is a Hilbert space and:

$$(i) E_p \subset F \quad (ii) U|_{D_p} = V_p \quad (iii) F = \text{span}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U^n(E_p).$$

The Arov & Grossman functional model establishes the existence of a bijection between the unitary extensions of the isometry $V_p: D_p \rightarrow R_p$ and the bounded analytical functions $\theta: D \rightarrow L(N_p, M_p)$, ($L(N_p, M_p)$ are the linear operator between N_p and M_p), defined as

$$\theta(z) = P_{M_p}^F U (I - z P_{F \ominus E_p}^F U)^{-1} \Big|_{N_p} \quad \|\theta\|_{\infty} \leq 1.$$

In fact N_p and M_p have dimension one and since $L(N_p, M_p) \cong \mathbb{C}$ we shall associate to each θ a function $H: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytical and with $\|H\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. We have the following

Proposition: Given $H \in H^{\infty}(D)$ such that $\|H\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, let μ^H be the spectral measure of U_H the minimal extension of $V_p: D_p \rightarrow R_p$ associated to H .

If $\mu_H(t) = \langle \mu^H e_0, e_0 \rangle$ then μ_H verifies:

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\mu_H(t) = \frac{2zH(z)}{m_p(z) - zH(z)\eta_p(z)} \frac{z^p}{m_p(z)} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \frac{1}{|m_p(e^{it})|^2} dt$$

Proof: It follows of algebraic manipulations of $H(z)$ and the properties of the spectral measure of U .

This proposition provides "the universal formula" for all of spectral densities whose first coefficients are

$$\{c_k\}_{k=0}^p.$$

Corollary: Given $H \in H^\infty(D)$ with $\|H\|_\infty \leq 1$, let μ_H the measure defined before. The measure μ_H is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on T , with density f_H given by

$$f_H(\zeta) = \frac{1}{|m_p(\zeta)|^2} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{m_p(\zeta) + \zeta H(\zeta) \eta_p(\zeta)}{m_p(\zeta) - \zeta H(\zeta) \eta_p(\zeta)} \right]$$

if and only if $\lambda \{ \zeta \in T : |H(\zeta)| = 1 \} = 0$ and $\frac{1}{m_p - \zeta H \eta_p} \in L^2$

Proof: It can be seen that $m_p(z) - zH(z)\eta_p(z) \neq 0, z \in D$

$\Rightarrow \{ \zeta \in T : m(\zeta) - \zeta H(\zeta) = 0 \}$ has Lebesgue measure zero.

Therefore, from the last proposition

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\mu_H(t) &= \frac{1}{|m_p(\zeta)|^2} + \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{2H(\zeta)\zeta^{p+1}}{m_p(\zeta)[m_p(\zeta) - \zeta H(\zeta)\eta_p(\zeta)]} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{|m_p(\zeta)|^2} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{m_p(\zeta) + \zeta H(\zeta)\eta_p(\zeta)}{m_p(\zeta) - \zeta H(\zeta)\eta_p(\zeta)} \right] = \frac{1 - |H(\zeta)|^2}{|m_p(\zeta) - \zeta H(\zeta)\eta_p(\zeta)|^2} \end{aligned}$$

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

From the fact $1 - \|H\|_\infty^2 \leq 1 - |H|^2 \leq 1$ we get $f_H \in L^1$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{m_p - \zeta H m_p} \in L^2$$

Theorem: Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{c_m\}_{m=-p}^p$, the following conditions are equivalent

(i) $\sum_n \sum_m \lambda_n \bar{\lambda}_m c_{n-m} > 0$, $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^p \subseteq \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$

(ii) There exists a positive Lebesgue's integrable function such that $c_k = \widehat{f}(k)$ $k = -p, \dots, p$.

Moreover, given $H \in H^\infty$ such that $\|H\|_\infty \leq 1$, the set $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : H(\zeta) = 1\}$ has Lebesgue measure zero and $\frac{1}{m_p - \zeta H m_p}$, we define

$$f_H(\zeta) = \frac{1 - |H(\zeta)|^2}{|m_p(\zeta) - \zeta H(\zeta) m_p(\zeta)|^2}$$

then

Furthermore, this last formula establishes a bijection between all the power spectrum that solves the covariance extension problem and the $H \in H^\infty$ verifying that the set $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : |H(\zeta)| = 1\}$ has Lebesgue measure zero and $\frac{1}{m_p - \zeta H m_p} \in L^2$.

Finally, the following factorization formula holds:

$$f_H(\zeta) = |F_H(\zeta)|^2 \text{ for some } F_H \in H^\infty$$

Proof: if (ii) is valid hence (i) is true

Assume (i) holds and $\forall_p: D_p \rightarrow R_p$. Given H verifying of the theorem let \mathcal{U}_H the minimal extension associated to H

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

$$c_k = \bar{c}_{-k} = \langle e_0, e_k \rangle_P = \langle e_0, V_P^k e_0 \rangle_P = \langle e_0, U_H^k e_0 \rangle_{F_H}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} d\mu_H(t)$$

where $\mu_H(t) = \langle \mu_H^{\#}(e_0, e_0) \rangle$. The desired result is a consequence of the precedent corollary. The other statements are easy.

4. Burg's & Krein entropies

We use now the functional model of Arov-Grossman to find the density of a second order stationary process that solves the maximum entropy Burg's problem

Theorem: Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{c_k\}_{k=0}^p$ be the first $(p+1)$ autocorrelation of a second order stationary process $X = \{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ then the density f_0 of X which maximizes Burg's functional $\epsilon(f)$ restricted to the conditions

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} f(e^{it}) dt = c_k \quad k=0, \dots, p$$

$$\text{is } f_0(e^{it}) = \frac{1}{|m_p(e^{it})|^2} = \frac{1}{|\eta_p(e^{it})|^2} \quad t \in [0, 2\pi]$$

corresponding " $H \equiv 0$ "

Proof: Taking $H \equiv 0$ in the formula

$$f_H(\zeta) = \frac{1}{|m_p(\zeta)|^2} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{m_p(\zeta) + \zeta H(\zeta) \eta_p(\zeta)}{m_p(\zeta) - \zeta H(\zeta) \eta_p(\zeta)} \right]$$

shows that $f_0(e^{it})$ is a solution of the extension problem. further more:

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log f_H(e^{it}) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log f_0(e^{it}) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{m_p + e^{it} H \eta_p}{m - e^{it} H \eta_p} \right| dt$$

From Jensen's inequality and Cauchy's formula we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left[\frac{m_p(e^{it}) + e^{it} H(e^{it}) \eta_p(e^{it})}{m_p(e^{it}) - e^{it} H(e^{it}) \eta_p(e^{it})} \right] dt$$

$$\leq \log \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{m_p(e^{it}) + e^{it} H(e^{it}) \eta_p(e^{it})}{m_p(e^{it}) - e^{it} H(e^{it}) \eta_p(e^{it})} \right] dt$$

$$= \log \operatorname{Re} X(z) = \log 1 = 0$$

where $X(z) = \frac{m_p(z) + z H(z) \eta_p(z)}{m_p(z) - z H(z) \eta_p(z)}$

Theorem: Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{e_k\}_{k=0}^p$ as the last theorem. Then the density that solves the Knein entropy maximum is given by taking:

$$H_a(z) = \frac{\bar{a} \overline{\eta_p(a)}}{m_p(a)}$$

Proof: Define

$$E_p^a = \eta_p^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^p \bar{a}^k e_k$$

We have for all $P \in E_p$ $\langle P, E_p^a \rangle = P(a)$

The followin Christoffel - Darboux formula is verified

$$E_p^a(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{\overline{m_p(a)} m_p(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta} \bar{a} \overline{\eta_p(a)} \eta_p(e^{i\theta})}{1 - \bar{a} e^{i\theta}}$$

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

also $\|E_p^a\|_p^2 = E_p^a(a)$. If we define

$$w_a(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1 - |Ha(e^{i\theta})|^2}{|w_p(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta} Ha(e^{i\theta}) w_p(e^{i\theta})|^2}$$

it holds

$$w_a(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{(1 - |a|^2) \|E_p^a\|_p^2}{|1 - a\bar{e}^{i\theta}|^2 |E_p^a(e^{i\theta})|^2}$$

Moreover:

$$\exp \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left[\frac{f_H(e^{i\theta})}{w_a(e^{i\theta})} \right] \frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - a\bar{e}^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f_H(e^{i\theta})}{w_a(e^{i\theta})} \frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - a\bar{e}^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\|E_p^a\|_p^2} \int_0^{2\pi} |E_p^a(e^{i\theta})|^2 f_H(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = 1$$

Because f_H is a solution to our problem. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log f_H(e^{i\theta}) \frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - a\bar{e}^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log w_a(e^{i\theta}) \frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - a\bar{e}^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta$$

5. Multidimensional covariance matrix extension problem.

We consider now the multidimensional analog of the problem studied in the previous sections. Let

$\vec{X} = \{X_m^{\vec{m}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a second order stationary process

\mathbb{R}^q valued and centered. We denote

$$R_k = E[X_0 X_k^t] \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

the autocovariance matrix and $R_0 = I$. There exists a positive matricial measure F such that

$$R_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} F(e^{it}) dt$$

the matrix F is hermitian and positive-definite.

Our first problem is: given a finite sequence

$R_0 = I, R_1, \dots, R_k$ of Matrices under which conditions there exists a matricial measure F such that

$$R_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} F(e^{it}) dt \quad k=0,1,\dots,p.$$

The other two problems are:

Since the solution of the first problem it is not unique is important to find: the matricial measures that maximizes

- $E(F) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \det F(e^{it}) dt$ (Burg)
- $h(W; \alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |\alpha|^2}{|1 - \alpha e^{-it}|^2} \log \det W(e^{it}) dt$ (Krein)

Verifying also:

$$R_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikt} G(e^{it}) dt$$

in both cases.

The solutions of these problems take the same steps that in the case $q=1$.

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

- A sequence of matrices is spd if

$$\sum_{n=0}^P \sum_{m=0}^P \langle R_{m-n} f_n, f_m \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^q} > 0 \quad \{f_k\} \in \mathbb{C}^q - \{\vec{0}\}$$

- Now $E_p(\mathbb{C}^q)$ is the span of $\{e_k E^j\}_{\substack{j=1, \dots, q \\ k=0, \dots, P}}$ where $e_k(z) = z^k$ and $E^i = (0, \dots, \underset{i}{1}, \dots, 0)$ the vectorial space $E_p(\mathbb{C}^q)$ form the vectorial trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq P$.

- We define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$ in $E_p(\mathbb{C}^q)$ as:

$$\langle \sum_{n=0}^P e_n f_n, \sum_{m=0}^P e_m g_m \rangle_P = \sum_{n=0}^P \sum_{m=0}^P \langle R_{m-n} f_n, g_m \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^q}$$

- The operator $\Gamma_P: E_p(\mathbb{C}^q) \rightarrow E_p(\mathbb{C}^q)$ can also be defined

$$\Gamma_P(f) = \sum_{k=0}^P e_k \sum_{s=0}^P R_{k-s} \hat{f}(s); f \in E_p(\mathbb{C}^q)$$

where $f = \sum_{s=0}^P \hat{f}(s) e_s$, $\hat{f}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^q \langle f, e_k E^j \rangle E^j$

- Γ_P is a linear operator and also $\langle \Gamma_P f, g \rangle_{L_q^e} = \langle f, g \rangle_P$
- L_q^e denotes the set:

$$\left\{ f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^q \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle f(e^{it}), f(e^{it}) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^q} dt < +\infty \right\}$$

As in the classical lemma we have:

- If $D_p := \text{Span} \{e_k E^s\}_{\substack{s=1, \dots, q \\ k=0, \dots, P-1}}$ $R_p := \text{Span} \{e_k E^s\}_{\substack{s=1, \dots, q \\ k=1, \dots, P}}$
and $V_p: D_p \rightarrow R_p$ defined as $V_p(e_k E^s) = e_{k+1} E^s$
- V_p is an isometric operator $V_p: E_p \rightarrow E_p$

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$

- Γ_p is injective
- The subspaces $N_p = E_p(\mathbb{C}^q) \ominus D_p$ and $M_p = E_p(\mathbb{C}^q) \ominus R_p$ have dimension equal q .

And as before:

$$N_p = \text{Span} \left[\Gamma_p^{-1} e_p E^s \right]_{s=1}^q \quad M_p = \text{Span} \left[\Gamma_p^{-1} e_0 E^s \right]_{s=1}^q$$

Let $\{m_p^k\}_{k=1}^q$ and $\{n_p^k\}_{k=1}^q$ the orthonormal vectors obtained via Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization method from:

$$\left\{ \Gamma_p^{-1} e_0 E^k \right\}_{k=1}^q \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \Gamma_p^{-1} e_p E^k \right\}_{k=1}^q$$

$$\text{If } n_p^k = (n_p^k(1), \dots, n_p^k(q))^t \quad \text{and} \quad m_p^k = (m_p^k(1), \dots, m_p^k(q))^t$$

Defining the matrices

$$N_p(\zeta) = \left[n_p^j(i) \right]_{\substack{i,j=1,\dots,q}} \quad \text{and} \quad M_p(\zeta) = \left[m_p^j(i) \right]_{\substack{i,j=1,\dots,q}}$$

for all unitary minimal extension of V_p , there is associated an analytical and bounded function

$H: D \rightarrow M_{q,q}$ (Matrices $q \times q$) such that the corresponding spectral matricial measure is given by:

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

$$F_H(\zeta) =$$

$$(N_p^*)^{-1} [(N_p^{-1} M_p - \zeta H)^*]^{-1} (I - H^* H) [N_p^{-1} M_p - \zeta H]^{-1} N_p^{-1}$$

$$H \in H_{q \times q}^\infty(D) \text{ with } H^* H < I \quad (0 < I - H^* H)$$

Taking $H \equiv 0$ we obtain $F_B(\zeta) = (M_p^*)^{-1} M_p^{-1}$. We

can also write

$$F_H(\zeta) = (M_p^*)^{-1} \operatorname{Re} [(M_p - \zeta N_p H)^{-1} (M_p + \zeta N_p H)] M_p^{-1}$$

$$\text{and putting } X(\zeta) = (M_p - \zeta N_p H)^{-1} (M_p + \zeta N_p H)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \det F_H(e^{it}) dt &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \det (F_B(e^{it}) \operatorname{Re} X(e^{it})) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \det \operatorname{Re} X(e^{it}) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \det F_B(e^{it}) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \det F_B(e^{it}) dt \end{aligned}$$

because maximal Jensen inequality gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \det [X(e^{it})] dt &\leq \log \det \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} (X(e^{it})) dt \right) \\ &= \log \det \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{X(z)}{z} dz \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally to solve the maximum entropy problem for Krein entropy, we must define

THE COVARIANCE EXTENSION PROBLEM

$$W_\alpha(e^{it}) = \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|e^{it}-\alpha|^2} [E_P^\alpha(e^{it})^*]^{-1} E_P^\alpha(\alpha) [E_P^\alpha(e^{it})]^{-1}$$

where $\alpha \in D$ and

$$E_P^\alpha(z) = \frac{M_P(z) M_P^*(\bar{z}) - z N_P(z) \bar{z} N_P^*(\bar{z})}{1 - z \bar{z}}$$

It is now plain to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \det [F_H(e^{it})] \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha \bar{e}^{it}|^2} dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \det [W_\alpha(e^{it})] \frac{1-|\alpha|^2}{|1-\alpha \bar{e}^{it}|^2} dt \end{aligned}$$

The function $W_\alpha(z)$ corresponds to

$$H_\alpha(z) = \bar{\alpha} N_P^*(\alpha) [M_P^*]^{-1}(\alpha)$$